

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/171,049	10/12/1998	EBRAHIM REZAI	JA138	7592
21/32	590 05/29/2003	EXAM	INFR	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			PRATT, CHRISTOPHER C	
			CINCINNATI,	, OH 43224
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		<i>_</i>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/171,049	REZAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Christopher C Pratt	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the learned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent in a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT that is a cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	00 Anril 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
Za)	This action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is				
<ol> <li>Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ui</li> </ol>	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 39-41</u> is/are pending i	n the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19 and 39-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	uminor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be need in aboyance.  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
See the attached detailed Office action for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	∆\	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-53) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	948) 5) Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
LLS Patent and Trademark Office		Port of Banar No. 26				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/171,049

Art Unit: 1771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendments and accompanying remarks filed 4/9/03 have been entered and carefully considered. Applicant's amendment is not found to patently distinguish the claims over the prior art and Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive of patentability for reasons set forth herein below.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang et al (5849405) in view of Goldman et al (5669894) and Anjur et al (5645542), as set forth in the previous two actions.

Applicant argues that the combination set forth above fails to teach the limitation that a majority of individual absorbent gelling particles are directly joined to an adjacent absorbent gelling particle, as added by amendment E. Applicant argues that said combination only teaches that the glue microfibers would be used in a separate layer between the absorbent gelling particles and a substrate web. Applicant's argument relies on Goldman's teaching to utilize glue microfibers in a separate layer. This

Art Unit: 1771

argument is not persuasive because it does not accurately characterize the references used in the instant rejection.

Wang teaches that glues and binders are mixed together with absorbent gelling particles (col. 13, lines 27-33). As is well known in the art, glues and binders can take a myriad of forms. Wang is silent with respect to the form and structure of the glue and binder. Therefore, the skilled artisan must turn to the prior art to practice the invention of Wang.

Like Wang, Goldman is also concerned with the creation of a diaper comprising absorbent gelling particles. Goldman teaches a specific structure and form of a glue or binder to be used in conjunction with absorbent gelling particles. Goldman teaches that glue microfibers provide "good wet integrity... in the absorbent member having the high concentration of hydrogel-forming absorbent polymer (col. 29, lines 43-45)." Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize glue microfibers as the glue or binder of Wang because Goldman specifically teaches that they provide good wet integrity.

Despite applicant's argument, Goldman specifically teaches that microfibers can be used in the same layer as the absorbent gelling particles (col. 29, line 45). Goldman also teaches that they can be used in "other components of the absorbent article," but this does not negate the fact that they are specifically taught to be used in conjunction with the absorbent gelling particles. Moreover, this aspect of Goldman is not critical because Wang specifically teaches the glue or binder to be used in conjunction with the gelling particles.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/171,049

Art Unit: 1771

Applicant argues that the combination fails to teach the majority of the particle joined to each other by the glue. Applicant finds support for this limitation as an inherent result of the method used to create the absorbent article. This method teaches mixing the glue and particles together, as opposed to keeping the glue confined to a separate layer. Wang describes the glue as a "mixture" of gelling particles and glue (col. 13, lines 29-32). Wang also teaches that the components of the mixture are not readily physically separable (col. 13, lines 32-36). Therefore, Wang's mixture of glue and particles would also inherently join the majority of the particles to each other.

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the references.

However, as set forth above, Wang lacks sufficient specificity and Goldman, not only compensates, but teaches that microfibers provide good wet strength.

Applicant argues that instant invention requires the particles to be fixed to the substrate. As previously set forth, Wang teaches that absorbent material to be "attached" to a substrate web (col. 16, lines 40-44).

#### **Conclusion**

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Pratt whose telephone number is 703-305-6559. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the

Application/Control Number: 09/171,049 Page 5

Art Unit: 1771

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Christopher C. Pratt May 23, 2003

CHERYL A JUSKA